UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,397	10/29/2003	Laurence Lundblade	030457	7478
	7590 12/04/200 INCORPORATED	8	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	OUSE DR.		DINH, MINH	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2432	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,397	LUNDBLADE, LAURENCE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MINH DINH	2432
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 / 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 24-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 15	awn from consideration. For election requirement.	
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination. 	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/697,397 Page 2

Art Unit: 2432

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed 08/30/08. Claims 24, 28, 32, 36 and 40 have been amended; claim 46 has been added.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7-8, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 28-35 under 35 USC 101 for being directed to non-statutory subject matter have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-11, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 24-45 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Drew (6,477,645), Bari (2002/0023059) and Hanna (7,010,690) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 24-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the

Art Unit: 2432

invention. Claim 24 recites the limitations "receiving an application identifier in a request for a server credential; generating the server credential using the application identifier and a master credential, wherein the master credential allows the device to be authenticated to other entities". The specification discloses that these steps are performed by the credential server (paragraph 0031, steps 4-5). The specification also discloses that the master credential is a secret shared between a device and the credential server (paragraphs 0027, 0030). It is assumed that the credential server serves multiple devices, not a single device. Therefore, the credential server has different master credentials, one for each of the multiple devices. However, the specification does not disclose how the credential server can distinguish, based on the received application identifier, which device the application is running on in order to retrieve the correct master credential. Thus, the disclosure fails to enable one skilled in the art to make and use the claimed invention. Claims 28, 32, 36 and 40 are rejected on the same basis as claim 24.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent No. 6,668,327 to Prabandham et al.
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,987,232 to Tabuki
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,560,008 to Johnson et al.
 - U.S. Patent App. Publication No. 2004/0049588 to Shinohara et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Subject to the above 112, 1st paragraph rejections, claims 24-46 would be allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH DINH whose telephone number is (571)272-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 10:00am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Minh Dinh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432

11/30/08